## Case 8:13-cv-01135-DKC Document 1 Filed 04/17/13 Page 1 of 6

	FORM TO BE USED BY A PRISONER FILING A COMPLAINT UNDER THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT, 42 U.S.C. §1983
	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT LODGED RECEIVED FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
waye	Bane # 357-769 APR 17 2013
14100	Memolier Huy SW BY DISTRICT OF MATYLAND DEPUT
CUMBER	smo wo sier
	ison identification Idress of the plaintiff)
w. St	Civil Action No. DK[-13- P. Shears. warper (Leave blank on initial filing to be filled in by Court.)
2- 7x 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	A SA OFFICER.  A SA OFFICER.  CRACK CORPECTIONAL  CRACK CORPECTION
I. Previou	COMPLAINT us lawsuits
	Have you filed other cases in state or federal court dealing with the same facts as in this case or against the same defendants?
	YES □ NO 🗹
В.	If you answered YES, describe that case(s) in the spaces below.
	1. Parties to the other case(s):
	Plaintiff:
	Defendant(s):

## 

		2.	Court (if a federal court name the district; if a state court name the city or
			county):
		3.	Case No.:
		4.	Date filed:
		5.	Name of judge that handled the case;
		6.	Disposition (won, dismissed, still pending, on appeal):
		7.	Date of disposition:
II.	Adm	inistra	tive proceedings
4	A.		ou are a prisoner, did you file a grievance as required by the prison's nistrative remedy procedures?
			YES NO D
		1.	If you answered YES:
			a. What was the result? Dismissed FOR PROCEDIEN
			Revalo.
			b. Did you appeal?
			YES Y NO
		2.	If you answered NO to either of the questions above, explain why:

*	
	SEK AMACHED SHEET
e e	
Poliof	
	at you want the Court to do for you.)
	at you want the Court to do for you.)  SHE ATTACHED SHEET
	Ste ATTACHED SHEET
State briefly wha	
State briefly wha	Ste ATTACHED SHEET  ay of, APRIL 2013,
State briefly wha	Ste ATTACHED SHEET  ay of, APRIL 2013.

On Occamber 17th 2012, at 12:45 pm, the Plaintiff was escorted to the medical Roam by Ocferbust J. TART (OFFICER), to be evaluated FOR chest pains.

OPERADORIES IN STANLINGS (OFFICER) AND KRICHTORS.

THE PRANTER DUTY AT THE MEDICAL DEAD FOR SOURCE, MITTERS WHITE THE CONVERSING CONTINUED.

FINALLY THE PRAINTIFF INTERRIPTED. THE CONVER DEFORMS

CORTES WAS SHE GOING to do her Job. In RESPONSE

OPERADORI CORPER TOW THE PRANTER TO SHAT P.

THE PLAINTIFF THEN REFERRED TO OPERADORI CORPER

COS C. DITCH.

AFTER THE EXCHANGE WITH DEFENDENT CORTEZ,

THE PLAINTIFF OF TEMPTED TO LEAVE THE ROOM.

DEFENDENT M. STANLINGS PLACED NIMBELT IN THE

SOORWING PHYSICANLY SLOWKING THE PLAINTIFFS EXIT.

HE TOW THE PLAINTIFF TO COFF Q.

when the printite overtoned why. Defendant M. Stallings powed his peoples speak Facile From his best and then threated to speak the praintite. The printite towned around and proced his back. Defendant M. Stallings came of behard ham. Instead of present handwifts on the printite he graded ham.

THE PHINTIFF FEIT HISEARN AND TRIED to pull his sem sury. Defendant 5- west examed him to the grand. once he was an the

## Case 8:13-cv-01135-DKC Document 1 Filed 04/17/13 Page 5 of 6

grand Defendant M. Sterlings purched And
Krexed him Repeatedly wast the bary. Detendant
5. That used his knee to Rhin the Plaintiffs
Rib ARRA. He then phied hardwifts on the
Plaintiff.

THE PLANTIFF WAS LATER OF THE GRAND SIA USED MICHERAL, when Defendant Murray SIA USED the nozzle of his pepper spray fagger to poke the plaintiff in his left eye. He then pepper sprayed the plaintiff in his late in his

THE PLANTIFF SUFFERED DROVER DOWN

JEEFER'S IN his left eye AND AN ADERSION to

THE CORNER. HE SUFFERED a CUT UNDER his

Eye. The left eye was Swollen Shut. He

SUFFERED a cut on the Right elbow. AND DENIES

CIL ORR his DONY ENCHOLING a boot print. He

Contrues to have blurred vision AND press in

DEFERDATE K. CORPEZ, M. Starlings and colors

Somes contempted to cover of the incident

By Followard Reports, Defendant Colors

Somes also anited parts of video Footage

OF the incident. The video will show

that is the Plantiff did nothing to

warrant being Kiexed, priched and marcel,

The Defendates did this to the Plaintiff

because he refered to K. corpil as a bitch.

I. Plaintiff seeks compensatory Junges in the smoot of the So, octo. Adjunet Jefendants M. Starlings, Murray S.A And J. TART. Plaintiff Seeks these Jampes against each Jefendant.

2. Plantite Seeks printive damages in the smant of & 50,000. Agjandt deferburts M. Gtallings musery S.A sud J. Tast. Plantite Seeks these damages sojaret each defendant.

3. Plantite Seeks Punitive Jamages in the most of \$20,000. Agreet Jeresbuts K. COEREZ and course James. Plantite Seeks these Jamages Agreet each Jeresbut.

4. A preliminary and permanent Injunction ordering permanent pooling streams to remove the describints involved or transfer the plaintiff to protter Inst. Of some security.

5. Any Attorney Feed and Recovery coots in this soit.

6. AM Relipe the court deems Just.